

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/821,569 | 04/09/2004 | Richard Spady | DICK-1-1001 | 3222 | |
| 25315 BLACK LOW | 7590 04/02/2008 E & GRAHAM, PLLC | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| 701 FIFTH AVENUE | | | STERRETT, JONATHAN G | | |
| SUITE 4800 SEATTLE, W | A 98104 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 0121111213, 11 | | | 3623 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 04/02/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Application No. | Applicant(s) SPADY, RICHARD | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 10/821,569 | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| JONATHAN G. STERRETT | 3623 | | |

| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | JONATHAN G. STERRETT | 3623 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ac | idress | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR. 1.3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO prince of rengly is generalled above, the machinum statutory period way. The provision of 37 CPR. 1.3 after the realing arms of the provision of 37 CPR. 1.3 after the realing agency procedured by the Office later than three months after the realing agency planet term adjustment. See 37 CPR. 1.70(4). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | , | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4-9-2 | 004. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application | on. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) objected to by the I | Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form P | ГО-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | ed in this National | Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 65 <u>-</u> 4 E | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Uniformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SELTE) | Notice of Informal P | | | | | | |

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/821,569

Art Unit: 3623

Summary

 This Non-Final Office Action is responsive to 9 April 2004. Currently Claims 1 and 2 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Under the statutory requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 101, a claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. For a claim to be useful, it must yield a result that is specific, substantial, and credible (MPEP § 2107). A concrete result is one that is substantially repeatable, i.e., it produces substantially the same result over and over again (*In re Swartz, 232 F.3d 862, 864, 56 USPQ2d 1703, 1704 (Fed. Cir. 2000*)). In order to be tangible, a claimed invention must set forth a practical application that generates a real-world result, i.e., the claim must be more than a mere abstraction (*Benson, 409 U.S. at 71-72, 175 USPQ at 676-77*). Additionally, a claim may not preempt abstract ideas, laws of nature or natural phenomena nor may a claim preempt every "substantial practical application" of an abstract idea, law of nature or natural phenomena because it would in practical effect be a patent on the judicial exceptions themselves (*Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 71-72 (1972)*). (Please refer to the "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/821,569

Art Unit: 3623

Eligibility" for further explanation of the statutory requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 101.)

Regarding independent Claims 1 and 2, the claim provides a useful result, in that of providing a survey rating, but does not provide a tangible and a concrete result.

Regarding Claims 1 and 2, the result of the claimed process is not tangible (e.g. stored or displayed) therefore the result is not tangible and the claims are not statutory under 35 USC 101.

Furthermore this claim does not provide a result that is substantially repeatable (i.e. concrete), since the claimed steps would provide entirely different results, depending on who is following the steps rather than on the steps themselves. Because the steps are not substantially repeatable, the claims are not concrete and are thus not statutory under 35 USC 101.

The claims cite a narrative describing two different consensus ratings. The steps in the method claims are not positively recited nor clearly laid out such that a person of ordinary skill in the art could follow the methods of Claims 1 and 2 in a manner that would be substantially repeatable. I.e., two persons of ordinary skill in the art would produce different consensus ratings for the two claims based on their own interpretation of what is set forth in the claims, rather than on following a positively recited set of steps. Thus, the narrative nature of the claims fails to provide a method that is substantially repeatable (i.e. concrete) and is not statutory under 35 USC 101.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/821,569

Art Unit: 3623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

 Claims 1 and 2 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US 20050171794 by Lubetsky discloses a method and system for reaching conflict resolution.

US 20050097067 by Kirshenbaum discloses a system and method for combining valuations of multiple evaluators.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,569

Art Unit: 3623

Examiner Comment: The examiner very respectfully reminds the applicant of the duty to disclose under 1.56 any material known to the applicant that is material to patentability. The examiner very respectfully reminds the applicant that a public use or disclosure of the invention more than one year prior to the filing date is a statutory bar to patentability under 35 USC 102(b).

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan G. Sterrett whose telephone number is 571-272-6881. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on 571-272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JGS 3-28-08

/Jonathan G. Sterrett/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623

Art Unit: 3623